# A Mn<sup>II</sup><sub>6</sub>Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>6</sub> Single-Strand Molecular Wheel with a Reuleaux Triangular Topology: Synthesis, Structure, Magnetism, and DFT **Studies**

Sotiris Zartilas,<sup>†</sup> Constantina Papatriantafyllopoulou,<sup>†</sup> Theocharis C. Stamatatos,<sup>‡,§</sup> Vassilios Nastopoulos,<sup>⊥</sup> Eduard Cremades,<sup>||</sup> El[is](#page-7-0)eo Ruiz,<sup>||</sup> George Christou,<sup>‡</sup> Christos Lampropoulos,<sup>†,#</sup> and Anastasios J. Tasiopoulos<sup>\*/†</sup>

† Department of Chemistry, Universit[y o](#page-7-0)f Cyprus, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus

‡ Department of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, United States

<sup>⊥</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Patras, Patras 26504, Greece

∥Department de Química Inorgànica and Institut de Recerca de Química Teòrica i Computacional, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 645, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

# Department of Chemistry, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, Florida 32224, United States

## **S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-7-0)STRACT: [The use of th](#page-7-0)e anion of 3-methyl-1,3,5-pentanetriol  $(mpt<sup>3−</sup>)$  in manganese carboxylate chemistry has afforded the new  $Mn^{II/III}{}_{12}$  cluster  $\left[\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}{}_{6}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}{}_{6}\text{(mpt)}_{6}\text{(CH}_{3}\text{CO}_{2}\text{)}_{12}\text{(py)}_{6}\right]$ ·3CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1·3CH<sub>3</sub>CN). Complex 1 was isolated in moderate yield by the reaction of  $Mn(CH_3CO_2)_2$ ·4H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>3</sub>mpt in a 2.6:1 molar ratio in a solvent mixture of acetonitrile and pyridine. The structure of 1 consists of alternating  $[Mn^{\text{II}}_2(CH_3CO_2)_3(py)]^+$  and  $[Mn^{\text{III}}_2(\mu OR)_2(CH_3CO_2)(py)]^{3+}$  dimeric units (three of each dimer), linked at each end by two alkoxo and one acetate bridges; the mpt<sup>3−</sup> ligands adopt the  $\eta^2:\eta^2:\mu_4$ coordination mode. The overall metal topology of this new  $Mn_{12}$  wheel resembles a guitar plectrum, or a Reuleaux triangle. Complex 1 displays an unprecedented structural topology, being the first example of a  $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}_{\text{6}}\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_{\text{6}}$ wheel constructed from alternating homovalent dimers and the only known  $Mn<sub>12</sub>$  loop with the trigonal symmetry of a Reuleaux triangle (all other reported



loops were ellipsoids). Variable-temperature, solid-state direct- and alternating-current magnetization studies were carried out on complex 1, revealing the presence of antiferromagnetic exchange interactions between the metal ions in the molecule, which lead to a spin ground-state value  $S = 0$ ; the exchange coupling parameters *J* were calculated using density functional theory employing a hybrid B3LYP functional.

## ■ INTRODUCTION

Molecular 3d metal cluster chemistry has been flourishing during the past few decades, owing to the intense interdisciplinary research efforts for the understanding of the mesoscopic regime, for which relatively little is known; thus, condensed-matter physicists are eagerly searching for useful models to probe mesoscopic phenomena. Polynuclear transition-metal clusters have been serving as such models.<sup>1</sup> These clusters are also interesting because of (a) their aesthetically pleasing structures/architectures and (b) their releva[nc](#page-7-0)e to a variety of other fields, such as bioinorganic chemistry<sup>2-5</sup> and molecular magnetism. In the field of molecular magnetism, some 3d metal clusters are single-molecule magnets  $(SMMs)$  $(SMMs)$  $(SMMs)$ ,<sup> $\circ$ </sup> behaving as superparamagnets and exhibiting magnetization hy[s](#page-7-0)teresis below a blocking temperature  $T_{\rm B}$  . Their properties originate from the intrinsic structural and electronic characteristics of their metal cores, and their potential applications range from high-density information storage, to molecular spintronics, $^7$  and to qubits for quantum computation.<sup>8</sup> Additionally, several other quantum-mechanical phenomena have been identifi[ed](#page-7-0) in SMMs, such as spin-phonon c[ou](#page-7-0)pling,<sup>9</sup> spinstate entanglement,<sup>8</sup> spin parity,<sup>10</sup> both thermally assisted and pure quantu[m](#page-7-0) tunneling of the magnetization, $^{11}$  quantum phase i[n](#page-7-0)terference,  $10b,12$  and others.<sup>13</sup>

Therefore, it is clear that in order to p[rob](#page-7-0)e complicated mesoscopic [phen](#page-7-0)omena the [n](#page-7-0)eed for model compounds is continually increasing. Even though SMM/cluster chemistry is still an attractive area, our interest has been recently extended to toroidal compounds; such wheel-type 3d metal complexes have a number of precedents in the literature, including highnuclearity multilayer or cluster-based wheels,<sup>14</sup> single-stranded homo- and heterometallic wheels,<sup>15</sup> and others. A small number of molecular wheels are single-strande[d](#page-7-0) and typically

Received: July 23, 2013 Published: October 4, 2013 <span id="page-1-0"></span>antiferromagnetic, some of which were proposed as candidate model compounds for investigations on the origins of magnetic anisotropy,<sup>16</sup> as well as probes of the Néel vector coherent tunneling mechanism. $17 \text{}$  Quantum coherence occurs when energy ex[cha](#page-8-0)nge with the environment is not required for a material to oscillate b[etw](#page-8-0)een the "spin-up" and "spin-down" states. The observation of the orientation change of a spin vector, which induces the spin-flip of all other spin carriers in the ring, is evidence of the Néel vector reversal; at low temperatures, this is expected to occur coherently by a tunneling mechanism.<sup>18</sup> Molecular wheels are also good models for the study of 1D magnetism,<sup>19,20</sup> spin frustration<sup>21</sup> (antiferromagnetic o[dd](#page-8-0)-numbered wheels), and host−guest chemistry.<sup>22</sup> Finding good model syst[ems f](#page-8-0)or such complicat[ed](#page-8-0) phenomena is a formidable task, and thus wheels constitute an attractive [cla](#page-8-0)ss of compounds.

Even though homovalent wheels are common for many trivalent 3d metal ions, with several examples including wheels of  $V^{III, 23}$  Cr<sup>III</sup>, 24 Mn<sup>III</sup>, <sup>25</sup> Fe<sup>III</sup>, <sup>26</sup> and Ga<sup>III</sup>, <sup>27</sup> mixed-valent wheels are rare.<sup>14d,15a,28,29</sup> One family of manganese wheels has the gener[al f](#page-8-0)orm[ula](#page-8-0)  $[Mn_{12}(L)_8(RCO_2)_{14}]$  $[Mn_{12}(L)_8(RCO_2)_{14}]$  $[Mn_{12}(L)_8(RCO_2)_{14}]$ ,<sup>28,[30](#page-8-0)</sup> where L are various N-substi[tuted](#page-7-0) [dieth](#page-8-0)anolamine dianions and  $R = Me$ , Et. These compounds consist of six  $Mn^{\text{II}}$  and six  $Mn^{\text{III}}$  ions, arranged in an alternating fashion in the loop and possess a spin ground state of  $S = 7<sub>1</sub><sup>31</sup>$  such  $Mn<sub>12</sub>$  loops are also rare examples of wheel-shaped SMMs. Additionally, the organization of the metal centers i[n th](#page-8-0)e mixed-valent  $Mn_{12}$  wheels in theory allows for antisymmetric exchange coupling between spins, that is, the Dzyaloshinskii−Moriya (DM) interaction;<sup>32</sup> the latter can lift the degeneracy of energy level crossings belonging to different spin multiplets and allow for tunneli[ng](#page-8-0) and interference between these levels. Indeed, it was recently proven that the tunneling transitions and quantum phase interference in  $Mn_{12}$ wheel SMMs were strongly dependent on the direction of the DM vector.<sup>33</sup>

Herein, we report the synthesis, crystal structure, and magnetic p[ro](#page-8-0)perties of a new  $Mn_{12}$  wheel-shaped complex, namely,  $[Mn_{12}(mpt)_{6}(CH_{3}CO_{2})_{12}(py)_{6}]$  3CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1·  $3CH<sub>3</sub>CN$ , where mpt<sup>3–</sup> is the trianion of 3-methyl-1,3,5pentanetriol (Scheme 1). To the best of our knowledge, this is

Scheme 1. 3-Methyl-1,3,5-pentanetriol  $(H<sub>3</sub>mpt)$  Ligand Used in This Study



only the second example of a metal complex incorporating this ligand.<sup>34</sup> Complex 1 is relevant to the family of  $Mn_{12}$  wheels discussed above since it also contains six Mn<sup>II</sup> and six Mn<sup>III</sup> ions but w[ith](#page-8-0) different shape and symmetry because of the relative positions of the  $Mn^{\text{II}}$  and  $Mn^{\text{III}}$  ions in 1. Furthermore, directcurrent (dc) and alternating-current (ac) magnetic susceptibility studies are reported, accompanied by density functional theory (DFT) calculations for determination of the exchange parameters.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Synthesis. All manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions using materials as received.

 $[Mn_{12}(mpt)_{6}(CH_{3}CO_{2})_{12}(py)_{6}]$ ·3CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1·3CH<sub>3</sub>CN). A colorless solution of H<sub>3</sub>mpt (0.039 mL, 0.043 g, 0.32 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/py

(20:3, v/v; 10 mL) was added to a solution of  $Mn(CH_3CO_2)_2$ <sup>-4</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O (0.200 g, 0.82 mmol) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN/py (20:3, v/v; 10 mL). The resulting red-orange solution was stirred for approximately 30 min at room temperature. A small quantity of undissolved material was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was left undisturbed in a sealed flask. After 10 days, X-ray quality reddish-brown crystals of  $1.3CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  were collected by filtration, washed with MeCN ( $2 \times 5$  mL) and Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $2$  $\times$  5 mL), and dried under vacuum; the yield was 0.08 g; the vacuumdried solid analyzed as  $1 \cdot H_2O$ . Anal. Calcd (found) for  $C_{90}H_{134}N_6O_{43}Mn_{12}$ : C, 40.84 (41.05); H, 5.10 (4.86); N, 3.17 (3.30). Selected IR data (cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> ): 2920 (sm), 2847 (m), 1558 (s), 1408 (s), 1286 (w), 1182 (mw), 1124 (s), 1074 (s), 933 (s), 874 (s), 743 (w), 662 (s), 581 (s), 449 (w).

X-ray Crystallography. Data were collected on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer equipped with a CCD area detector and a graphite monochromator utilizing Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ ) Å). A suitable crystal of  $1.3CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  was attached to the glass fiber using paratone-N oil and transferred to a goniostat, where it was cooled to 100 K for data collection. Unit cell dimensions were determined and refined using 16778 reflections (3.02  $\leq \theta \leq$  30.47). Empirical absorption corrections (multiscan based on symmetryrelated measurements) were applied using CrysAlisRED software.<sup>35</sup> The structure was solved by direct methods using SIR92<sup>36a</sup> and refined on  $F^2$  with full-matrix least squa[re](#page-8-0)s using SHELXL97.<sup>36b</sup> Software packages used: Cry[sAl](#page-8-0)isCCD<sup>35</sup> for data collection, CrysAlisRED<sup>35</sup> for cell refinement and data reduction; WINGX<sup>36c</sup> for geometric calculations; DIAMOND<sup>37a</sup> [and](#page-8-0) MERCURY<sup>37b</sup> for molecular gr[aph](#page-8-0)ics. The non-H atoms were treated anisotropically, w[her](#page-8-0)eas the H atoms were placed in calculated, ideal positions an[d re](#page-8-0)fined as riding on their respective C atoms. Unit cell parameters and structure solution and refinement data for complex 1.3CH<sub>3</sub>CN are listed in Table 1.

Physical Studies. IR spectra were recorded in the solid state (KBr pellets) on a Shimadzu Prestige-21 spectrometer in the 4000−400 cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> range. Variable-temperature dc and ac magnetic susceptibility data were collected at the University of Florida using a Quantum

Table 1. Crystallographic Data for Complex 1·3MeCN

	1.3MeCN	
formula <sup>a</sup>	$C_{96}H_{141}N_9Mn_{12}O_{42}$	
fw, <sup>a</sup> g mol <sup>-1</sup>	2752.46	
cryst syst	trigonal	
space group	R3c	
a, Å	33.736(2)	
$b, \mathring{A}$	33.736(2)	
c, Å	17.8948(7)	
$\alpha$ , deg	90.00	
$\beta$ , deg	90.00	
$\gamma$ , deg	120.00	
$V, \mathring{A}^3$	17637(2)	
Z	6	
T, K	100(2)	
radiation, Å	$0.71073^{b}$	
$\rho_{\rm calcd}$ g cm <sup>-3</sup>	1.555	
$\mu$ , mm <sup>-1</sup>	1.326	
measd/unique reflns	77469/6867	
$R_{\text{int}}$	0.1037	
obsd reflns	5090	
R1 <sup>c</sup>	0.0385	
$wR2^d$	0.0639	
GOF on $F^2$	1.087	
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ e Å $^{-3}$	$0.473, -0.364$	

<sup>a</sup>Including solvate molecules. <sup>b</sup>Mo Ka radiation.  ${}^{d}$ R1 =  $\sum (||F_o|| - ||$ Fe||)/ $\sum F_o$ |. For observed  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$  reflections.  $d$ wR2 =  $\sum (w / F_o^2)$  $-F_c^2$ <sup>2</sup>[ $\sum$ [w( $F_c^2$ <sup>2</sup>)<sup>2</sup>]]<sup>1/2</sup>, w = 1/[ $\sigma^2(F_c^2) + (ap)^2 + bp$ ], where p =  $\left[\max(F_o^2, 0) + 2F_c^2\right]/3.$ 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Figure 1. Partially labeled representations of (a) the molecular structure of complex 1 and (b) the repeating (asymmetric)  $[\text{Mn}_{2}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}_{2}^{\text{III}}(\text{mpt})_{2}(\text{py})_{2}(\text{CH}_{3}\text{CO}_{2})_{2}]^{2+}$  unit of complex 1. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. The dashed bonds denote connections to the neighboring repeating unit. The thicker aqua bond denotes the JT axes on the six-coordinate  $Mn^{III}$  ion. Color code:  $Mn^{II}$ , turquoise;  $Mn^{III}$ , blue; N, green; O, red; C, gray.

Design MPMS-XL SQUID susceptometer equipped with a 7 T magnet and operating in the 1.8−300 K range. Samples were embedded in solid eicosane to prevent torquing. The ac magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed in an oscillating ac field of 3.5 G and a zero dc field. The oscillation frequencies were in the 5− 1488 Hz range. Pascal's constants were used to estimate the diamagnetic corrections, which were subtracted from the experimental susceptibilities to give the molar paramagnetic susceptibility  $(\chi_M)$ . Elemental analyses (C, H, and N) were performed by the in-house facilities of the Chemistry Department at the University of Florida.

Computational Details. For calculation of the exchange coupling constants for any polynuclear complex with  $n$  different exchange constants, the energy of at least  $n + 1$  spin configurations must be calculated.<sup>38</sup> If more energy values are calculated, a fitting procedure is required to extract the J values. In the case of the studied complex, to obtain the [fo](#page-8-0)ur J values, seven spin configurations have been used: (i) the high-spin solution  $(S = 27)$ ; (ii) two  $S = 15$  distributions with the inversion of three  $Mn^{III}$  spins at {Mn3, Mn3', Mn3"} and {Mn2, Mn2′, Mn2″} (see Figure 1) in the first and second distributions, respectively; (iii) one  $S = 14$  distribution with the inversion of spins at  ${Mn3''$ , Mn2', Mn1'}; (iv) two S = 12 distributions with the inversion of three  $\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}$  spins at {Mn1, Mn1′, Mn1″} and {Mn4′, Mn4′, Mn4} in the first and second distributions, respectively; (v) an  $S = 1$ distribution with the inversion of spins at {Mn3, Mn3″, Mn2, Mn2″, Mn1, Mn1″}. A more detailed description of the procedure used to obtain the exchange parameter constants has been reported elsewhere.<sup>39</sup> The calculations have been performed with the B3LYP functional<sup>40</sup> together with the NWChem code (version 5.1)<sup>41</sup> and a guess [fun](#page-8-0)ction generated with the Jaguar 7.5 code. $42$  Finally, the tripleζ all-elec[tro](#page-8-0)n Gaussian basis set proposed by Schaefer e[t a](#page-8-0)l. was employed. $43$ 

## ■ RES[UL](#page-8-0)TS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis. Our group has been investigating the employment of diol-type ligands, such as 1,3-propanediol  $(H_2pd)$  and 2-methyl-1,3-propanediol  $(H_2mpd)$ , in manganese carboxylate chemistry as a route to new polynuclear clusters and SMMs. The anionic form of these ligands contains two alkoxide arms, which favor the formation of polynuclear products and thus have been proven to be a rich source of high-nuclearity Mn clusters and SMMs.14e−g,44 This investigation recently expanded to include ligands with more ROH groups, in order to study the effect of hi[ghe](#page-7-0)r [l](#page-7-0)[ink](#page-8-0)ing ability on the nuclearity and magnetic properties of the resulting products. A suitable ligand

for this study seemed to be 3-methyl-1,3,5-pentanetriol  $(H<sub>3</sub>mpt)$ , which contains three ROH arms (Scheme 1); to the best of our knowledge, the only other 3d metal cluster bearing this tridentate ligand is the mixed-valent [c](#page-1-0)ation  $\left[\text{Mn}_{\text{6}}^{\text{III}}\text{Mn}_{\text{4}}^{\text{II}}\text{O}_4(\text{Hmpt})_{6}(\text{N}_3)\text{,Br}_2\right]^+$  exhibiting a supertetrahedral  $\left[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_{6}\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}_{4}\text{O}_4\right]^{18+}$  structural core.<sup>34</sup>

Various reactions have been systematically explored with differing reagent ratios, reaction solven[ts,](#page-8-0) and other conditions. The reaction of  $\text{Mn}(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2)_2$  4H<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>3</sub>mpt in a 2.6:1 molar ratio in  $CH_3CN/py$  (20:3, v/v) afforded a red-orange solution from which compound  $1.3CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  was subsequently isolated. The formation of complex 1 is summarized in eq 1.

$$
12Mn(CH_3CO_2)_2 + 6H_3mpt + 6py + \frac{3}{2}O_2
$$
  
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\text{MeCN/py}} [Mn_{12}(mpt)_{6}(CH_3CO_2)_{12}(py)_{6}]
$$
  
\n+ 12CH\_3CO\_2H + 3H\_2O (1)

The reaction involves Mn oxidation, undoubtedly by  $O_2$ under the prevailing basic conditions, and has been balanced accordingly. The  $\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2^-$  ions act as both proton acceptors, facilitating formation of the mpt<sup>3−</sup> anion, and bridging ligands, playing a key role in formation of the  $Mn_{12}$  complex. Alteration of the solvent ratio did not affect the product identity, whereas when the reaction was performed in the absence of pyridine, an insoluble powder that could not be further characterized was isolated. Small variations in the  $Mn^H/H_3$ mpt ratio did not either affect the identity of the product or increase the yield of the reaction any further. We investigated the possibility of synthesizing complex 1 from different solvent combinations to target the incorporation of different terminal groups; however, the solid/polycrystalline precipitates were mixtures, possibly of 1 contaminated by other unidentifiable solid byproducts.

Description of the Structure. The partially labeled structure of complex 1 is shown in Figure 1a, while the labeled asymmetric unit of 1 is presented in Figure 1b; selected interatomic distances and angles for 1 are listed in Table 2.

The structure of 1 (Figure 1a) comprises six  $Mn<sup>H</sup>$  and six  $\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}$  ions linked through  $\text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2^-$  and  $\text{mpt}^{3-}$  bridges to [fo](#page-3-0)rm

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 2. Selected Interatomic Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for Complex  $1^a$ 

$Mn1-O1$	2.188(3)	$Mn3-O2$	1.911(3)
$Mn1-O4$	2.165(3)	$Mn3-O3$	1.867(3)
$Mn1-O7$	2.148(3)	$Mn3-O5$	1.913(3)
$Mn1-O9$	2.244(3)	$Mn3-O6$	1.845(3)
$Mn1-O14''$	2.132(3)	$Mn3-O11$	2.114(3)
$Mn1-N1$	2.265(4)	$Mn4-O3$	2.181(3)
$Mn2-O1$	1.856(3)	$Mn4-O6$	2.188(4)
$Mn2-O2$	1.927(3)	$Mn4-O7''$	2.166(4)
$Mn2-O4$	1.865(3)	$Mn4-O9''$	2.209(3)
$Mn2-O5$	1.937(3)	$Mn4 - O12$	2.167(4)
$Mn2-O10$	2.246(4)	$Mn4-O13$	2.102(4)
$Mn2-N2$	2.322(4)	Mn1…Mn2	3.084(1)
$Mn2\cdots Mn3$	2.913(1)	Mn3…Mn4	3.069(1)
$O1 - Mn1 - O4$	69.7(2)	$O5-Mn2-N2$	90.8(2)
$O1 - Mn1 - O7$	166.7(2)	$O10 - Mn2 - N2$	174.1(2)
$O1 - Mn1 - O9$	89.0(2)	$O2 - Mn3 - O3$	96.7(2)
$O1 - Mn1 - O14''$	86.9(2)	$O2 - Mn3 - O5$	80.9(2)
$O1-Mn1-N1$	93.2(2)	$O2 - Mn3 - O6$	170.6(2)
$O4 - Mn1 - O7$	112.5(2)	$O2 - Mn3 - O11$	93.9(2)
$O4 - Mn1 - O9$	91.1(2)	O3-Mn3-O5	162.3(2)
$O4 - Mn1 - O14''$	156.6(2)	$O3 - Mn3 - O6$	84.0(2)
$O4 - Mn1 - N1$	95.0(2)	O3-Mn3-O11	93.9(2)
$O7 - Mn1 - O9$	78.0(2)	O5-Mn3-O6	95.5(2)
$O7 - Mn1 - O14''$	90.1(2)	O5-Mn3-O11	103.7(2)
$O7 - Mn1 - N1$	99.5(2)	O6-Mn3-O11	95.4(2)
O9-Mn1-O14"	87.5(2)	$O3 - Mn4 - O6$	69.3(2)
$O9 - Mn1 - N1$	173.9(2)	$O3 - Mn4 - O7''$	159.9(2)
$O14'' - Mn1 - N1$	86.9(2)	O3-Mn4-O9"	104.1(2)
$O1 - Mn2 - O2$	97.8(2)	O3-Mn4-O12	84.1(2)
$O1 - Mn2 - O4$	83.9(2)	O3-Mn4-O13	102.4(2)
$O1 - Mn2 - O5$	177.3(2)	O6-Mn4-O7"	91.2(2)
$O1 - Mn2 - O10$	93.6(2)	O6-Mn4-O9"	86.8(2)
$O1 - Mn2 - N2$	90.7(2)	O6-Mn4-O12	96.5(2)
$O2 - Mn2 - O4$	176.5(2)	O6-Mn4-O13	168.9(2)
$O2 - Mn2 - O5$	79.9(2)	$O7'' - Mn4-O9''$	78.4(2)
$O2 - Mn2 - O10$	83.7(2)	$O7'' - Mn4 - O12$	94.1(2)
$O2 - Mn2 - N2$	91.7(2)	$O7'' - Mn4 - O13$	97.6(2)
$O4 - Mn2 - O5$	98.3(2)	O9"-Mn4-O12	171.8(2)
$O4 - Mn2 - O10$	93.2(2)	O9"-Mn4-O13	88.3(2)
$O4 - Mn2 - N2$	91.4(2)	$O12 - Mn4 - O13$	89.8(2)
$O5 - Mn2 - O10$	84.8(2)		
		Symmetry code: $z' = 2 - y$ , $1 + x - y$ , $z$ ; $z'' = 1 - x + y$ , $2 - x$ , $z$ .	

a puckered, single-stranded wheel of virtual  $C_3$  point group symmetry. It can be conveniently described as a guitar plectrum, or a regular Reuleaux triangle of  $\left[{\rm Mn^{II} }_2{\rm Mn^{III} }_2{\rm (mpt)}_2{\rm (py)}_2{\rm (CH_3CO_2)}_2\right]^{2+}$  units (Figure 1b), linked at each end by three bridging  $CH_3CO_2^-$  groups. The π-optimal or so-called regular Reuleaux triangle (the equiv[ale](#page-2-0)nt of a  $C_3$ -symmetric triangle) is the simplest Reuleaux polygon; such polygons have an odd number of vertices, which are based on curves of identical width.<sup>45</sup> Similarly, complex 1 consists of three curves of constant width, each including the  $\left[\text{Mn}_{2}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}_{2}^{\text{III}}(\text{mpt})_{2}(\text{py})_{2}(\text{CH}_{3}\text{CO}_{2})_{2}\right]^{2+}$  unit, with the distance between the first and fourth Mn ion in each unit (Mn1 and Mn4) being 8.79 Å and the dihedral angle defined by the four Mn−Mn bond vectors (Mn1−Mn2−Mn3−Mn4) within each tetranuclear unit being 2.67°. There are two  $\eta^2:\eta^2:\eta^2:\mu_4$  mpt<sup>3–</sup> ligands (Figure 2a) in each unit, bridging the four Mn atoms,

a



Figure 2. Partially labeled representations of (a) the  $\eta^2:\eta^2:\mu_4$ binding mode of the mpt<sup>3−</sup> ligands and (b) the connection or "hinge" between two neighboring units or "struts". The dashed bonds denote connections to the neighboring repeating unit. Color code:  $Mn^{II}$ , turquoise;  $Mn^{III}$ , blue; O, red; C, gray.

namely, Mn1−4 and their symmetry-related partners. The neighboring Mn<sup>II</sup>-Mn<sup>III</sup> pairs of the asymmetric unit (Mn4− Mn3 and Mn1−Mn2) are additionally bridged by one acetate ion each, which in the case of Mn4−Mn3 pair adopts its familiar  $\eta^1{:}\eta^1{:}\mu$  (syn,syn) mode oriented toward the exterior of the loop. The acetate linking the Mn1−Mn2 ions is pointed toward the center of the loop adopting the  $\eta^1:\eta^2:\mu_3$ coordination mode and bridges these ions to a  $Min^{\text{II}}$  atom (Mn4 and its symmetry equivalents) of a neighboring  $Mn<sub>4</sub>$  unit. Thus,  $\eta^1:\eta^2:\mu_3$  CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, together with  $\eta^2:\mu$  and  $\eta^1:\eta^1:\mu$  $CH_3CO_2^-$  ions, which are located outside the loop, act as the "hinges" between two adjacent structural subunits (Figure 2b), which, in turn, can be imagined as the "struts" of the overall structure. Alternatively, the structure of 1 can be described as consisting of repeating homovalent dinuclear  $\left[{\rm Mn}^{\rm II}_{2}({\rm CH}_3{\rm CO}_2)_{3}({\rm py})\right]^+$  and  $\left[{\rm Mn}^{\rm III}_{2}(\mu\text{-OR})_{2}({\rm CH}_3{\rm CO}_2)\right]$ -(py)]3+ units linked at each end by two alkoxo and one acetate bridges.

The  $Mn^{\text{II}}$  ions (Mn1, Mn4, and their symmetry equivalents) and one of the two Mn<sup>III</sup> centers of each unit (Mn2 and its symmetry partners) are six-coordinate, exhibiting a nearoctahedral geometry. The remaining Mn<sup>III</sup> ion (Mn3 and its symmetry equivalents) is five-coordinate with a distorted square-pyramidal coordination geometry; the O11 atom from an  $\eta^1:\eta^1:\mu$  CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> group occupies the axial position. The  $\text{Mn}^{\text{II/III}}$  oxidation states were established through charge considerations, bond-valence-sum (BVS) calculations (Table 3),<sup>46</sup> and the clear Jahn–Teller (JT) distortions of the six-





<sup>a</sup>The underlined value is the one closest to the charge for which it was calculated. The oxidation state can be taken as the whole number nearest to the underlined value.

coordinate  $Mn^{III}$  ions, which take the form of axial elongation of the N2−Mn2−O10 and its symmetry related axes. The Mn2−O10 bond measures 2.246(4) Å and the Mn2−N2 bond 2.322(4) Å; as expected for a  $d<sup>4</sup>$  metal ion in octahedral geometry, these axial bonds are distinctly longer than the equatorial ones, which range between  $1.856(3)$  and  $1.937(3)$  Å. Note that the angle between the JT axis and the mean plane defined by the 12 Mn atoms is 68.0°, while the angle between two different JT axes (approximated as the mean JT axis vector) is  $\sim$ 73.2°.

The  $Mn_{12}$  ring deviates from planarity, with Mn1, Mn2, and their symmetry-related partners lying 0.3093 and 0.3947 Å, respectively, below the mean plane of the 12 Mn atoms and Mn3, Mn4, and their symmetry counterparts lying 0.0039 and 0.5888 Å above the same plane, respectively.

The unit cell of 1 contains a single orientation of the  $Mn_{12}$ wheel. A packing diagram is presented in Figure 3. The



Figure 3. Crystal packing diagram of the Mn−O core of 1 depicted along the crystallographic (a)  $a$ , (b)  $b$ , and (c)  $c$  axes. Color code: Mn, turquoise; O, red.

molecules pack in a columnar fashion, with the stacking directions being parallel to the shortest unit cell axis c. There are no significant intra- or intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions, suggesting that each molecule is essentially isolated from its neighbors, as revealed from the relatively large Mn···Mn separations between neighboring  $Mn_{12}$  units (>8.39 Å). The organic alkoxide and carboxylate bridging ligands oriented toward the center of the loop occupy the space of its central cavity and do not leave empty room for guest molecules; as a result, the lattice MeCN molecules are located above and below each loop.

Compound 1 joins the family of  $Mn_{12}$  wheels and is related to the subclass of complexes containing the general formula  $[Mn_{12}(L)_8(RCQ_2)_{14}]$ , where L are N-substituted diethanolamine dianions.<sup>28,30</sup> As mentioned above, 1 consists of six  $Mn^{\text{II}}$ and six  $Mn^{III}$  ions, which is also the case in the latter  $Mn_{12}$ wheels; howeve[r,](#page-8-0) [1](#page-8-0) is constructed by alternate  $\text{Mn}_2^{\text{II}}$  and  $\text{Mn}_2^{\text{III}}$ dimeric units instead of alternating  $\mathbf{Mn}^{\text{II}}$  and  $\mathbf{Mn}^{\text{III}}$  ions. Therefore, 1 is (a) only the second example of a 3d cluster bearing the mpt<sup>3−</sup> ligand,<sup>34</sup> (b) the first example of a  $\text{Mn}_{\text{B}}^{\text{II}}\text{Mn}_{\text{B}}^{\text{III}}$  wheel made of homovalent dimers, and (c) the first example of such a loo[p w](#page-8-0)ith the trigonal symmetry of a Reuleaux triangle, while the predecessor examples are all ellipsoids.

Magnetochemistry. Solid-state, variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on a vacuum-dried microcrystalline sample of complex  $1 \cdot H_2O$ , which was suspended in eicosane to prevent torquing. The dc magnetic susceptibility  $(\chi_M)$  data were collected in the 5.0−300 K range in a 0.1 T magnetic field and are plotted as  $\chi_{\text{M}}$ T versus T in Figure 4.  $\chi_{\text{M}}$ T gradually decreases during the whole



Figure 4. dc magnetic susceptibility of complex 1, plotted as  $\chi_{\rm M}T$ versus T.

temperature range from 40.34  $cm<sup>3</sup>$  mol<sup>-1</sup> K at room temperature to 21.23 cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> K at 50 K and then to 4.23 cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> K at 5 K. The 300 K value is slightly lower than the spin-only ( $g = 2$ ) value of 44.25 cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> K for a complex consisting of six  $Mn^{II}$  and six  $Mn^{III}$  non-interacting ions, indicating the presence of dominant antiferromagnetic interactions within the molecule. This is also confirmed from the continuous decrease of the  $\chi_{\rm M}T$  value with decreasing temperature, which is heading for zero at the lowest temperatures, indicating that complex 1 possesses an  $S = 0$ ground state. It is noted, however, that, for a singlet  $S = 0$ , the susceptibility is expected to approach zero at zero temperature, which may or may not be the case here. The reason for this caveat is 2-fold: (a) possible anisotropic effects could lead to a  $\chi_{\text{M}}$  > 0 behavior, as has been recently seen in the antiferromagnetic  $Fe<sub>18</sub>$  wheel with a diamagnetic ground state; $47$  (b) the presence of a highly dense manifold of excited states, only slightly above the ground state, and/or excited state[s th](#page-8-0)at are more separated from the ground state but have S values greater than the ground state is present. The  $M<sub>s</sub>$  levels of the latter drop in energy quite rapidly because of the applied magnetic field and approach (or even cross) those of the ground state.<sup>15g</sup> The case of low-lying excited states is also expected because of the high content of  $Mn^{\text{II}}$  ions in 1 (50% of the metal ce[nter](#page-7-0)s). Exchange interactions involving  $Mn<sup>H</sup>$  ions are known to be very weak and almost always antiferromagnetic and usually lead to small energy separations even at the lowest temperatures, where the Boltzmann population of the excited states is normally expected to approach zero.<sup>48</sup> Given the size and complexity of the magnetic system, it was not possible to apply the Kambe method to determine the i[ndi](#page-8-0)vidual pairwise exchange interaction parameters between the Mn ions<sup>49</sup> or to easily use matrix diagonalization methods. The exchange parameters were therefore determined computational[ly](#page-8-0) using DFT methods (vide infra).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>For an independent confirmation of the diamagnetic ground state, we carried out ac magnetic susceptibility studies on 1·  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ , which are a powerful complement to dc studies for determining the ground state of the system. As such, they preclude the various complications arising from the presence of a dc field.<sup>50</sup> Thus, the spin ground state  $S = 0$  for complex 1 was further evidenced in ac magnetic susceptibility studies, performe[d b](#page-8-0)etween 1.8 and 15 K, using a 3.5 G ac field oscillating at frequencies in the 5−1500 Hz range. Figure 5



Figure 5. In-phase ac magnetic susceptibility of complex 1 as the  $\chi'_{\rm M}T$ versus T plot, with the 3.5G ac field oscillating at the indicated frequencies.

shows the in-phase component of the ac susceptibility for complex 1, plotted as  $\chi'_{\text{M}}$ T versus T, while the imaginary component of the susceptibility, as a  $\chi''_M$  versus T plot, is available in the Supporting Information. The in-phase signal steadily decreases with decreasing temperature (the slope of the curve remains c[onstant throughout the](#page-7-0) temperature range), indicating depopulation of one or more excited states as the temperature drops. Extrapolation of the in-phase plot to 0 K, where only the ground state is populated, gives a  $\chi'{}_{\rm M}T$  value of  $\sim$ 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> K, instead of 0 cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> K, as would be expected for an  $S = 0$  ground state. Thus, our initial prediction, from the dc data, of very low-lying excited states slightly above the singlet ground state for 1 is also confirmed by the ac magnetic susceptibility study. Finally, as expected, complex 1·  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  does not exhibit an out-of-phase ac signal down to 1.8 K (Figure S1, Supporting Information), and thus is not an SMM.

The physics of antiferromagnetic wheels is surprisingly rich because th[ey were initially rega](#page-7-0)rded as models of 1D antiferromagnetic chains; however, later theoretical and experimental work suggested that their physics cannot be accurately described as a classical antiferromagnetic Heisenberg  $\frac{1}{2}$  but the rotational or L- and E-band concept (quantummechanical effect) should be considered.<sup>20b</sup> Therefore, the avai[labi](#page-8-0)lity of a new member of this small  $Mn_{12}$  single-stranded antiferromagnetic wheel family may shine li[ght](#page-8-0) on complicated mesoscopic phenomena currently under investigation by the condensed-matter physics community.

Theoretical Studies. Compound 1 consists of dinuclear  $\text{Mn}_{2}^{\text{II}}$  and  $\text{Mn}_{2}^{\text{III}}$  units bridged by carboxylate and alkoxo ligands, which are known to often propagate antiferromagnetic interactions,<sup>51</sup> and thus the diamagnetic ground state was not a surprise. However, it was a matter of interest to determine the relative stre[ngt](#page-8-0)h of the exchange parameters that lead to the  $S =$ 0 ground state. Unfortunately, compound 1 is not amenable to the Kambe method<sup>49</sup> because of its high nuclearity and the resulting number of inequivalent exchange parameters. Therefore, we obtained [the](#page-8-0) J values from theoretical calculations using DFT methods.

Close inspection of the structure of 1 reveals the existence of four superexchange pathways, and thus four different exchange parameters  $J_i$  ( $i = 1-4$ ) are required to model this spin system (Figure 6a):  $J_1$  is the  $Mn^{\text{III}}$  interaction, i.e., the interaction of Mn2···Mn3 (and their symmetry-related partners) through two alkoxo bridges;  $J_2$  is the Mn<sup>II</sup>···Mn<sup>II</sup> interaction, i.e., the interaction of Mn1 and its neighboring Mn4 (and their symmetry equivalent atoms) through two monatomic and one triatomic bridges from three different  $CH_3CO_2^-$  ions;  $J_3$  is the interaction of Mn1···Mn2 (and their



Figure 6. Representations of (a) the Mn/O core of complex 1 indicating the exchange parameters (*J*) employed in the theoretical calculations and (b) the spin-density map calculated at the B3LYP level for the singlet ground state of 1. The isodensity surface presented corresponds to a value of 0.005 e bohr<sup>−</sup><sup>3</sup> . Blue and white regions indicate positive and negative spin populations, respectively.

symmetry equivalent atoms) through two alkoxo bridges and one  $\mu_3$  CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ion;  $J_4$  is associated with the interaction of Mn3···Mn4 (and their symmetry-related metal ions) through two alkoxo bridges and one  $\mu$  carboxylate ion. Note that  $J_3$  and  $J_4$  both correspond to  $Mn$ <sup>II</sup>···Mn<sup>III</sup> interactions but with significant differences between the geometric characteristics of the Mn1 $\cdots$ Mn2 and Mn3 $\cdots$ Mn4 pairs that involve (i) different coordination numbers and geometries of the  $Mn^{III}$  ions ( $Mn2$ ion is hexacoordinated, whereas Mn3 is pentacoordinated), (ii) different bridging modes adopted by the carboxylate ligands connecting the  $Mn^{III}-Mn^{II}$  ions  $(\eta^1:\eta^2:\mu_3 \text{ vs } \eta^1:\eta^1:\mu \text{ coordinates})$ tion modes for the carboxylates connecting Mn1···Mn2 and Mn3···Mn4 ions, respectively), and (iii) different bond lengths. Concerning the last point, we note that the axial  $Mn$ <sup>III</sup> $-O<sub>ac</sub>$ distance is ∼0.1 Å shorter in the case of the pentacoordinated Mn ion (2.114 vs 2.246 Å for Mn3 and Mn2 ions, respectively; see Table 4). The same trend is also observed for the  $Mn^{\text{II}}-O_{\text{ac}}$ distance (2.244 vs 2.167 for Mn1 and Mn4 ions, respectively; see Table 4).

Table 4. Structural Parameters in 1 Used in the DFT Study and the Calculated Exchange Coupling Parameters from Use of the B3LYP Hybrid Functional



le of the mpt` The underlined values correspond to structural parameters of the Mn<sup>II</sup> cations.

The calculated J values using the B3LYP hybrid functional together with several structural parameters of 1 are presented in Table 4. Analysis of the results allows us to extract the following conclusions: (1) The  $J_1$  exchange  $Mn$ <sup>III</sup>···Mn<sup>III</sup> interaction is antiferromagnetic and stronger than the  $J_2$  Mn<sup>II</sup>···Mn<sup>II</sup> interaction, which is in excellent agreement with previously reported findings for  $Mn$ <sup>III</sup>···Mn<sup>III</sup> and  $Mn$ <sup>II</sup>···Mn<sup>II</sup> interactions.<sup>52,53</sup> (2) The  $J_3$  exchange Mn<sup>II</sup>···Mn<sup>III</sup> interaction is the only one that is ferromagnetic, and its sign and value match perfe[ctly](#page-9-0) with those calculated for a very similar pathway appearing in a member of the previously reported  $Mn_{12}$  family of wheels.<sup>31a</sup> (3) The  $J_4$  exchange Mn<sup>II</sup>···Mn<sup>III</sup> interaction, although it corresponds to a pathway similar to that of  $J_3$ , is weakly ant[ifer](#page-8-0)romagnetic. The existence of weak ferromagnetic  $(J_3)$  or antiferromagnetic  $(J_4)$  exchange interactions between  $Mn^{II}$  and  $Mn^{III}$  ions is consistent with the findings in several oligonuclear complexes containing Mn<sup>II</sup>Mn<sup>III</sup> units, where the exchange interactions are either ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic depending on the structural parameters and in all cases very weak.<sup>54</sup> The different signs of  $J_3$  and  $J_4$  are probably related to the appreciably shorter  $Mn^{I\!I\!I}-O_{ac}$  and  $Mn^{I\!I}-O_{ac}$ 

bond lengths appearing in the superexchange pathway corresponding to antiferromagnetic coupling  $(J_4)$ .

The representation of the spin distribution corresponding to the most stable single-determinant wavefunction [of](#page-9-0) 1 is plotted in Figure 6b. The opposite spin orientation in the  $Mn$ <sup>III</sup> $\cdots$  $Mn$ <sup>III</sup> and  $Mn^{\text{II}}\cdots Mn^{\text{II}}$  pairs is due to the antiferromagnetic intrapair couplings  $(J_1 \text{ and } J_2)$ , while the interpair interactions are either ferromagnetic  $(I_3)$  or antiferromagnetic  $(I_4)$ . It is clearly impossible to fulfill all of the above-mentioned conditions at the same time; thus, one or more interactions are expected to be frustrated; the most stable  $S = 0$  spin configuration corresponds to the case in which the weakest interaction  $(I_3)$ appears to be frustrated. The diagonalization of the matrix Hamiltonian is not possible because of large memory requirements. However, the relatively large stability of such a  $S = 0$  singlet determinant is anticipated to give a singlet ground state for the complex. Spin distribution shows a predominance of spin delocalization of the  $Mn^{\text{II}}$  cations because they have unpaired electrons in all the d orbitals including those with antibonding character. Thus, the sign of the spin density in the neighboring ligand atoms is the same as that in the metal due to the metal−ligand orbital mixing of the antibonding orbitals. However, for the  $Mn^{\text{III}}$  centers in the xy plane, the spin polarization is the main mechanism of interaction; consequently, the sign of the neighboring atom density in the equatorial plane is opposite to that of the metal. Thus, theoretical studies suggest a diamagnetic spin ground state  $(S =$ 0) for 1, supporting our conclusion from dc and ac magnetic susceptibility measurements.

## ■ **CONCLUSIONS**

The use of the anion mpt<sup>3−</sup> in manganese carboxylate chemistry has provided access to the new  $Mn^{II/III}$ <sub>12</sub> singlestranded molecular wheel  $1.3CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  comprising alternating  $\text{Mn}_{\text{\tiny 2}}^{\text{\tiny {II}}}$  and  $\text{Mn}_{\text{\tiny 2}}^{\text{\tiny {III}}}$  dimeric structural units. The arrangement of the Mn ions in 1 closely resembles a guitar plectrum or the Reuleaux triangle, the simplest Reuleaux polygon. In fact, the presence of homovalent dimers and the shape/symmetry of the Mn/O core are indeed the most significant structural differences between 1 and its predecessor  $Mn_{12}$  wheels; the latter are ellipsoid loops of alternating divalent and trivalent Mn ions.

dc and ac magnetic susceptibility measurements in 1 revealed dominant antiferromagnetic exchange interactions between the metal ions, resulting in a diamagnetic ground state, which was also confirmed by DFT calculations. From the DFT study, the J constants were determined, and all but one interactions were found to be antiferromagnetic; the exception was J for the interaction between the six-coordinate  $Mn^{III}$  ion and its neighboring  $Mn<sup>H</sup>$ , which is weakly ferromagnetic.

Thus, 1 joins a small family of mixed-valent Mn wheels, which have previously induced a wave of scientific activity in the chemistry and condensed-matter physics communities; as such, the availability of a new mixed-valent  $Mn_{12}$  loop, with unprecedented structural characteristics and symmetry, is of particular significance. Furthermore, the fact that it possesses an  $S_T = 0$  ground state makes it attractive for magnetochemists and physicists because antiferromagnetic wheels exhibiting a diamagnetic ground state, even though they are not SMMs, are still of interest because they can serve as effective models for monitoring Néel vector tunneling, and other relaxation mechanisms in antiferromagnetic systems.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Our continuing synthetic efforts toward the isolation of new clusters and/or metal−organic frameworks from the use of polyols has afforded a new  $Mn_{12}$  mixed-valent antiferromagnetic wheel, a new addition to a very small but quite interesting class of molecular systems. Our investigation of the  $H_3$ mpt ligand is ongoing, and the use of bulky carboxylates, different ligand combinations and the incorporation of other 3d and/or 4f metals are within the scope of the current project. Since the chemistry of polyols has been particularly rich, we have no reason to believe that this will not be the case for the  $H_3$ mpt ligand.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

Crystallographic data for complex 1 in CIF format and the outof-phase susceptibility  $\chi_M''$  versus T plot (Figure S1). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

## ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### [Correspond](http://pubs.acs.org)ing Author

\*E-mail: atasio@ucy.ac.cy.

## Present Address

§ Depart[ment of Chemistr](mailto:atasio@ucy.ac.cy)y, Brock University, St. Catharines, L2S 3A1 Ontario, Canada.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.J.T., S.Z., and C.P. thank the Cyprus Research Promotion Foundation (Grants ΔIEΘNHΣ/ΣTOXOΣ/0308/14 and ENIΣX/0506/08). A.J.T. and C.P. are thankful for the European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007- 2013) under Grants PCIG09-GA-2011-293814 and PIRSES-GA-2011-295190. E.C. and E.R. were supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Economia y Competitividad (Grant CTQ2011- ́ 23862-C02-01) and the regional Generalitat de Catalunya authority (Grant 2009SGR-1459). C.L. acknowledges the University of North Florida for the summer faculty development grant and the Chemistry Department at the University of Cyprus for providing him the visiting professorship appointment. G.C. acknowledges the National Science Foundation (Grant DMR-1213030). The authors thankfully acknowledge the computer resources, technical expertise, and assistance provided by the Barcelona Supercomputer Center.

### ■ REFERENCES

(1) Gatteschi, D.; Sessoli, R.; Villain, J. Molecular Nanomagnets; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 2007.

(2) (a) Lippard, S. J.; Berg, J. M. Principles of Bioinorganic Chemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1994. (b) Ochiai, E.-I. Bioinorganic Chemistry: A Survey, 1st ed.; Elsevier Science Publishing Co.: San Diego, CA, 2008.

(3) (a) Ohki, Y.; Tatsumi, K. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2013, 639, 1340. (b) Malinak, S. M.; Coucouvanis, D. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 49, 599. (c) Holm, R. H.; Armstrong, W. H.; Christou, G.; Mascharak, P. K.; Mizobe, Y.; Palermo, R. E.; Yamamura, T. In Biomimetic Chemistry; Yoshida, Z. I., Ise, N., Eds.; Elsevier: New York, 1983.

(4) (a) Gorun, S. M.; Lippard, S. J. Nature 1986, 319, 666. (b) Muller, A.; Rehder, D. In Coordination Chemistry in Protein Cages: Principles, Design, and Applications, 1st ed.; Ueno, T., Watanabe, Y., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2013.

(5) (a) Sauer, K.; Yano, J.; Yachandra, V. K. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2008, 252, 318 and references cited therein. (b) Mukherjee, S.; Stull, J. A.; Yano, J.; Stamatatos, T. C.; Pringouri, K.; Stich, T. A.; Abboud, K. A.; Britt, R. D.; Yachandra, V. K.; Christou, G. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2012, 109, 2257. (c) Koumousi, E. S.; Mukherjee, S.; Beavers, C. M.; Teat, S. J.; Christou, G.; Stamatatos, T. C. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 11128. (d) Kanady, J. S.; Tsui, E. Y.; Day, M. W.; Agapie, T. Science 2011, 333, 733.

(6) (a) Bagai, R.; Christou, G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38 (4), 1011 and references cited therein.. (b) Christou, G.; Gatteschi, D.; Hendrickson, D. N.; Sessoli, R. MRS Bull. 2000, 25, 66. (c) Aromi, G.; Brechin, E. K. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 2006, 122, 1 and references cited therein.

(7) Bogani, L.; Wernsdorfer, W. Nat. Mater. 2008, 7, 179.

(8) (a) Hill, S.; Edwards, R. S.; Aliaga-Alcalde, N.; Christou, G. Science 2003, 302, 1015−1018. (b) Tiron, R.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Foguet-Albiol, D.; Aliaga-Alcalde, N.; Christou, G. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 91, 227203(1−4).

(9) (a) Kovalev, A.; Hayden, L.; Bauer, G.; Tscherkovniak, Y. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2011, 106, 147203. (b) Garanin, D. A.; Chudnovsky, E. Phys. Rev. X 2011, 1, 011005. (c) Ganzhorn, M.; Klyatskaya, S.; Ruben, M.; Wernsdorfer, W. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2013, 8, 166.

(10) (a) Wernsdorfer, W.; Bhaduri, S.; Boskovic, C.; Christou, G.; Hendrickson, D. N. Phys. Rev. B 2002, 65, 180403. (b) Wernsdorfer, W.; Chakov, N. E.; Christou, G. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2005, 95, 037203.

(11) (a) Gatteschi, G.; Sessoli, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 268. (b) del Barco, E.; Kent, A. D.; Hill, S.; North, J. M.; Dalal, N. S.; Rumberger, E. M.; Hendrickson, D. N.; Chakov, N. E.; Christou, G. J. Low Temp. Phys. 2005, 140, 119. (c) Stamp, P. C. E. Nature 1996, 383, 125.

(12) (a) Wernsdorfer, W.; Sessoli, R. Science 1999, 284, 133. (b) Wernsdorfer, W.; Soler, M.; Christou, G.; Hendrickson, D. N. J. Appl. Phys. 2002, 91, 7164.

(13) (a) Macia, F.; Hernandez, J. M.; Tejada, J.; Datta, S.; Hill, S.; Lampropoulos, C.; Christou, G. Phys. Rev. B 2009, 79, 092403. (b) Adams, S. T.; da Silva Neto, E. H.; Datta, S.; Ware, J. F.; Lampropoulos, C.; Christou, G.; Myaesoedov, Y.; Zeldov, E.; Friedman, J. R. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2013, 110, 087205.

(14) (a) Tasiopoulos, A. J.; Vinslava, A.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2117. (b) Manoli, M.; Inglis, R.; Manos, M. J.; Nastopoulos, V.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Brechin, E. K.; Tasiopoulos, A. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4441. (c) Manoli, M.; Prescimone, A.; Mishra, A.; Parsons, S.; Christou, G.; Brechin, E. K. Dalton Trans. 2007, 532. (d) Scott, R. T. W.; Milios, C. J.; Vinslava, A.; Lifford, D.; Parsons, S.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Christou, G.; Brechin, E. K. Dalton Trans. 2006, 3161. (e) Moushi, E. E.; Lampropoulos, C.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Nastopoulos, V.; Christou, G.; Tasiopoulos, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16146. (f) Moushi, E. E.; Lampropoulos, C.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Nastopoulos, V.; Christou, G.; Tasiopoulos, A. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 3795. (g) Charalambous, M.; Moushi, E. E.; Papatriantafyllopoulou, C.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Nastopoulos, V.; Christou, G.; Tasiopoulos, A. J. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 5410. (h) Manoli, M.; Prescimone, A.; Bagai, R.; Mishra, A.; Murugesu, M.; Parsons, S.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Christou, G.; Brechin, E. K. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 6968. (i) Nguen, T. N.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20688. (j) Foguet-Albiol, D.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Chem. Commun. 2005, 4282.

(15) (a) Murugesu, M.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 892. (b) Liu, W.; Lee, K.; Park, M.; John, R. P.; Moon, D.; Zou, Y.; Liu, X.; Ri, H.-C.; Kim, G. H.; Lah, M. S. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 8807. (c) Ramsey, C. M.; Heroux, K. J.; O'Brien, J. R.; DiPasquale, A. G.; Rheingold, A. L.; del Barco, E.; Hendrickson, D. N. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 6245. (d) Crewdson, P.; Gambarotta, S.; Yap, G. P. A.; Thompson, L. K. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 8579. (e) Timco, G. A.; Faust, T. B.; Tuna, F.; Winpenny, R. E. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 3067 and references cited therein. (f) Engelhardt, L. P.; Muryn, C. A.; Pritchard, R. G.; Timco, G. A.; Tuna, F.; Winpenny, R. E. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 924. (g) Lampropoulos, C.; Stamatatos, T. C.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Polyhedron 2009, 28, 1958. (h) Stamatatos, T. C.; Vlahopoulou, G.;

<span id="page-8-0"></span>Raptopoulou, C. P.; Psycharis, V.; Esquer, A.; Christou, G.; Perlepes, S. P. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 3121. (i) Timco, G. A.; McInnes, E. J. L.; Winpenny, R. E. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 1796 and references cited therein.

(16) Cornia, A.; Jansen, A. G. M.; Affronte, M. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 60, 12177.

(17) (a) Chiolero, A.; Loss, D. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1998, 80, 169. (b) Meier, F.; Loss, D. Phys. Rev. B 2001, 64, 224411. (c) Meier, F.; Loss, D. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2001, 86, 5373. (d) Waldmann, O.; Stamatatos, T. C.; Christou, G.; Gudel, H. U.; Sheikin, I.; Mutka, H. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2009, 102, 157202.

(18) Meier, F.; Loss, D. Phys. B 2003, 329, 1140.

(19) Kahn, O. Molecular Magnetism; VCH Publishers: New York, 1993.

(20) (a) Furrer, A.; Waldmann, O. Rev. Mod. Phys. 2013, 85, 367 and references cited therein. (b) Waldmann, O. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 2550 and references cited therein..

(21) Cador, O.; Gatteschi, D.; Sessoli, R.; Barra, A.-L.; Timco, G. A.; Winpenny, R. E. P. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2005, 290, 55.

(22) (a) Dechambenoit, P.; Long, J. R. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 3249 and references cited therein. (b) Saalfrank, R. W.; Maid, H.; Scheurer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8794 and references cited therein.

(23) (a) Kumagai, H.; Kitagawa, S. Chem. Lett. 1996, 471. (b) Chen, Y.; Liu, Q.; Deng, Y.; Zhu, H.; Chen, C.; Fan, H.; Liao, D.; Gao, E. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 3723.

(24) Affronte, M.; Carretta, S.; Timco, G. A.; Winpenny, R. E. P. Chem. Commun. 2007, 1789 and references cited therein.

(25) Liu, W.; Lee, K.; Park, M.; Hohn, R. P.; Moon, D.; Zou, Y.; Liu, X.; Ri, H.-C.; Kim, G. H.; Lah, M. S. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 8807 and references cited therein.

(26) (a) Taft, K. L.; Delfs, C. D.; Papaefthymiou, G. C.; Foner, S.; Gatteschi, D.; Lippard, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 823. (b) Benelli, C.; Parsons, S.; Solan, G. A.; Winpenny, R. E. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1996, 35, 1825. (c) McInnes, E. J. L.; Anson, C.; Powell, A. K.; Thomson, A. J.; Poussereau, S.; Sessoli, R. Chem. Commun. 2001, 89. (d) Cañ ada-Vilalta, C.; Pink, M.; Christou, G. Chem. Commun. 2003, 1240. (e) Frey, M.; Harris, S. G.; Holmes, J. M.; Nation, D. A.; Parsons, S.; Tasker, P. A.; Teat, S. J.; Winpenny, R. E. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3246. (f) Waldmann, O.; Schülein, J.; Koch, R.; Müller, P.; Bernt, I.; Saalfrank, R. W.; Andres, H. P.; Guldel, H. U.; Allenspach, P. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 5879. (g) Saalfrank, R. W.; Bernt, I.; Chowdhry, M. M.; Hampel, F.; Vaughan, G. B. N. Chem. Eur. J. 2001, 7, 2765. (h) Jones, L. F.; Batsanov, A.; Brechin, E. K.; Collison, D.; Helliwell, M.; Mallah, T.; McInnes, E. J. L.; Piligkos, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4318. (i) Abu-Nawwas, A.-A. H.; Cano, J.; Christian, P.; Mallah, T.; Rajaraman, G.; Teat, S. J.; Winpenny, R. E. P.; Yukawa, Y. Chem. Commun. 2004, 314. (j) Lin, S.; Liu, S.-X.; Chen, Z.; Lin, B.-Z.; Gao, S. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 2222. (k) Stamatatos, T. C.; Christou, A. G.; Mukherjee, S.; Poole, K. M.; Lampropoulos, C.; Abboud, K. A.; O'Brien, T. A.; Christou, G. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 9021. (l) Stamatatos, T. C.; Christou, A. G.; Jones, C. M.; O'Callaghan, B.; Abboud, K. A.; O'Brien, T. A.; Christou, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9840.

(27) (a) Stamatatos, T. C.; Mukherjee, S.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Chem. Commun. 2009, 62. (b) King, P.; Stamatatos, T. C.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7379. (c) Papaefstathiou, G. S.; Manessi, A.; Raptopoulou, C. P.; Terzis, A.; Zafiropoulos, T. F. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 8823.

(28) Foguet-Albiol, D.; O'Brien, T. A.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Moulton, B.; Zaworotko, M. J.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 897.

(29) (a) Stamatatos, T. C.; Abboud, K. A.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Christou, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6694. (b) Manoli, M.; Prescimone, A.; Bagai, R.; Mishra, A.; Murugesu, M.; Parsons, S.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Christou, G.; Brechin, E. K. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 6968. (c) Shah, S. J.; Ramsey, C. M.; Heroux, K. J.; O'Brien, J. R.; DiPasquale, A. G.; Rheingold, A. L.; del Barco, E.; Hendrickson, D. N. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 6245.

(30) (a) Rumberger, A. M.; Zakharov, L. N.; Rheingold, A. L.; Hendrickson, D. N. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 6531. (b) Rumberger, A. M.; Shah, S. J.; Beedle, C. C.; Zakharov, L. N.; Rheingold, A. L.; Hendrickson, D. N. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 2742. (c) Shah, S. J.; Ramsey, C. M.; Heroux, K. J.; DiPasquale, A. G.; Dalal, N. S.; Rheingold, A. L.; del Barco, E.; Hendrickson, D. N. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 9569.

(31) (a) Cano, J.; Costa, R.; Alvarez, S.; Ruiz, E. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2007, 3, 782. (b) Gangopadhyay, S.; Masunov, A. E.; Poalelungi, E.; Leuenberger, M. N. J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 244104. (32) Ramsey, C. M.; del Barco, E.; Hill, S.; Shah, S. J.; Beedle, C. C.; Hendrickson, D. N. Nat. Phys. 2008, 4, 277.

(33) Wernsdorfer, W.; Stamatatos, T. C.; Christou, G. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2008, 101, 237204.

(34) (a) Nayak, S.; Evangelisti, M.; Powell, A. K.; Reedijk, J. Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 12865. (b) Stuiber, S.; Wu, G.; Nehkorn, J.; Dreiser,

J.; Lan, Y.; Novitchi, G.; Anson, C. E.; Unruh, T.; Powell, A. K.; Waldmann, O. Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 9094.

(35) CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED, version 1.171.32.15; Oxford Diffraction Ltd.: Abingdon, Oxford, England, 2008.

(36) (a) SIR92: Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giaconazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Burla, M. C.; Polidori, G.; Camalli, M. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1994, 27, 435. (b) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL97; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997. (c) Farrugia, L. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999, 32, 837.

(37) (a) Brandenburg, K. DIAMOND, version 3.1d; Crystal Impact GbR: Bonn, Germany, 2006. (b) Macrae, C. F.; Edgington, P. R.; McCabe, P.; Pidcock, E.; Shields, G. P.; Taylor, R.; Towler, M.; Van de Streek, J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2006, 39, 453.

(38) Ruiz, E.; Rodriguez-Fortea, A.; Cano, J.; Alvarez, S.; Alemany, P. J. Comput. Chem. 2003, 24, 982.

(39) (a) Ruiz, E.; Rodriguez-Fortea, A.; Tercero, J.; Cauchy, T. J. Chem. Phys. 2005, 123, 074102. (b) Ruiz, E. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 2004, 113, 71.

(40) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648.

(41) Valiev, M.; Bylaska, E. J.; Govind, N.; Kowalski, K.; Straatsma, T. P.; van Dam, H. J. J.; Wang, D.; Nieplocha, J.; Apra, E.; Windus, T.

L.; de Jong, W. A. Comput. Phys. Commun. 2010, 181, 1477.

(42) Jaguar7.5; Schrö dinger, Inc.: New York, 2009.

(43) Schaefer, A.; Huber, C.; Ahlrichs, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 100, 5829.

(44) (a) Moushi, E. E.; Stamatatos, T. C.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Nastopoulos, V.; Christou, G.; Tasiopoulos, A. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 5049. (b) Moushi, E. E.; Stamatatos, T. C.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Nastopoulos, V.; Christou, G.; Tasiopoulos, A. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7722. (c) Tasiopoulos, A. J.; Perlepes, S. P. Dalton Trans. 2008, 5537.

(45) (a) Griffiths, D.; Culpin, D. Mathl. Gaz. 1975, 59, 165. (b) Ball, D. G. Mathl. Gaz. 1973, 57, 298.

(46) (a) Brown, I. D.; Altermatt, D. Acta Crystallogr. 1985, B41, 244. (b) Liu, W.; Thorp, H. H. Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 4102.

(47) Ummethum, J.; Nehrkorn, J.; Mukherjee, S.; Ivanov, N. B.; Stuiber, S.; Strässle, Th.; Tregenna-Pigott, P. L. W.; Mutka, H.; Christou, G.; Waldmann, O.; Schnack, J. Phys. Rev. B 2012, 86, 104403.

(48) (a) Lampropoulos, C.; Murugesu, M.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Polyhedron 2007, 26, 2129. (b) Lampropoulos, C.; Koo, C.; Hill, S. O.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 11180.

(49) Kambe, K. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 1950, 5, 48.

(50) (a) Lampropoulos, C.; Stamatatos, T. C.; Manos, M. J.; Tasiopoulos, A. J.; Abboud, K. A.; Christou, G. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 2244. (b) Milios, C. J.; Raptopoulou, C. P.; Terzis, A.; Lloret, F.; Vicente, R.; Perlepes, S. P.; Escuer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 210. (c) Milios, C. J.; Vinslava, A.; Whittaker, A.; Parsons, S.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Perlepes, S. P.; Christou, G.; Brechin, E. K. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 5272.

(51) Stamatatos, T. C.; Christou, G. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. 2008, 366, 113 and references cited therein.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>(52) Cremades, E.; Cauchy, T.; Cano, J.; Ruiz, E. Dalton Trans. 2009, 5873.

(53) (a) Berg, N.; Rajeshkumar, T.; Taylor, S. M.; Brechin, E. K.; Rajaraman, G.; Jones, L. F. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 5906. (b) Paine, T. K.; Weyhermüller, T.; Bothe, E.; Wieghardt, K.; Chaudhuri, P. Dalton Trans. 2003, 3136.

(54) Manda, D.; Chatterjee, P. B.; Bhattacharya, S.; Choi, K. Y.; Clerac, R.; Chaudhury, M. ́ Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 1826.